

U.S. Ser. No. 09/955,253  
Reply to O. A. of 7 July, 2005

Atty. Dkt. B06090-D  
Applicants: Cohen et al.

**Remarks**

The independent claims are 50, 52 and 55, which are each amended to delete the five words "the vibration member vibrates while" in the feature paragraph common to those claims. Several times the undersigned left this message on Examiner's voicemail in attempts to discuss this on the phone with Examiner but which were unsuccessful. Examiner had rejected the claims under 35 USC § 112, P.1 for the alleged reason that the specification did not clearly support the phrase as previously used in those claims that Examiner identified in the July 7, 2005 Office Action at page 2, last paragraph. Examiner did state therein that he recognized that "it is the cyclically towards and away motion of the carrier which 'is' the vibration." The present amendment is made to improve the clarity of the claim to more precisely express what had been intended, and is believed to overcome the Examiner's rejection.

If a telephone conference would helpfully advance prosecution, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at 617-421-7939.

Please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 07-1350.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward S. Podszus  
Reg. No. 35,983  
Attorney for Applicants  
(617) 421-7939 (EST)

7 Oct. 2005

Patent Department  
The Gillette Company  
Prudential Tower Building  
Boston, MA 02199